United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

| Section 1A | NRCS Entry Only Eligible: YES ₩ NO □ |
|---|---|
| Date of Report: 02/23/2006 | Approved: YES NO Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) |
| DSR Number: 103-05-142K Project Number: Melun Bayou | Limited Resource Area: YES NO NO |
| Sponsor Name: St. Tammany Parish Section 1B Sponsor | or Information |
| Address: 21490 Koop Drive | |
| City/State/Zip: Mandeville, LA 70471 | |
| Telephone Number: (985) 898-2522 Fax: (985) 898 | 3-5205 |
| Section 1C Site Loca | ation Information |
| County: St. Tammany State: Louisiana | Congressional District: 01 |
| Latitude: see comments Longitude: see comments | Section: 37,40,41, Township: 85,95 Range: 12E,13E |
| UTM Coordinates: 16 | 46 |
| Drainage Name: Melun Bayou | Reach: Approx. 6776 ft. |
| Damage Description: Large trees and other debris blocking channel f | low |
| Section 1D Site | Evaluation |
| All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EV | WP assistance |
| Site Eligibility | YES NO Remarks |
| Damage was a result of a natural disaster?* | |
| Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?* | |
| Threat to life and/or property?* | Grandel |
| Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?* | |
| Imminent threat was created by this event?** | 7 |
| For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?** | |
| Site Defensibility | |
| Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to | |
| warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***) | |
| Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***) | |
| Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of program and its possible effects? YES V NO COMMENT NO COMMENTS: Site #2 (Upper Reach Start) = Lat.: 30.3136 N; Long.: 89.9504 W, End = Lat.: 30.2974 N, Long.: 89.9390 W, End = Lat.: 30.2974 N | |

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO: ______

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Remove debris from channel and both sides (using conventional methods) reaching 15 feet from top of bank on each side for the upper 6776 ft. The material blocking the bayou at Creole St. will be removed and disposed of off site. Work will be performed from one side only for both locations.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 155,120.00

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

ota/DAVD Program Manakar

1 -

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: ______

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

| 2A Resource | 2B Existing | 2C Alternative Designation | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Concerns | Condition | Proposed Action No Action Alternative | | | | |
| | | Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using only one side of the channel for access | Leave all debris and large debris in the channel | Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using both sides of the channel for access | | |
| = | T | 2 | D Effects of Alternativ | es | | |
| Soil | | | | | | |
| Bank Erosion | disturbed soils from bank, tree falls, and uprooting 20-T/ac | removal of debris will allow reveg, and reduce loss 5-T/ac | potential for further damage from debris 20-T/ac | reveg./reduce soil loss 10-T/ac | | |
| Soil Quality | soil quality reduced | soil quality improved | soil quality reduced | soil quality improved | | |
| Water | | | | | | |
| Downstream water rights | | | | | | |
| DO | low DO from decreased water flow | remove debris/increase flow/ DO | blockage/low ĐO | remove debris/increase flow/DC | | |
| BOD | high BOD/debris decomposition | improved BOD by removing detritus | high BOD/decomposition | improved BOD/less detritus | | |
| Overall Stream Health | SVAP = 6.9 = fair | SVAP = 7.4 = fair | SVAP = 6.9 = fair | SVAP = 7.4 = fair | | |
| Air | | | | | | |
| particulate matter/dust | no effect | slight temporary increase | no effect | slight temporary increase | | |
| PM - 10 | altainment | attainment | attainment | altainment | | |
| ************************************** | | | | | | |
| Plant | | | | | | |
| riparian plants | excess debris potential damage | debris removal will increase growth potential | continued growth limitation | debris removal will increase growth potential | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Animal | | | | | | |
| Aquatic habitat | impaired food web quality | quality increased/reduce BOD | quality reduced/high BOD | quality increased/reduce BOD | | |
| Upland habitat | damaged due to debris | increase/low damage | slight increase with time | slight increase w/increased damage resulting from access | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Aesthetics | Poor due to clutter | improved by debris removal | Poor due to clutter | improved by debris removal | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DSR NO: _____

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

| Resource | Existing Condition | ZE Special Environme | Alternatives and Effects | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Consideration | Existing Condition | Droposed Astice | | |
| Consideration | | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S. | CWA Jurisdiction Debris affecting water flow and quality | improve water quality; CWA permit required | decrease water quality; increase blockage/clutter Debris affecting water quality | improve water quality; short term increase in damage; CWA permit required |
| Coastal Zone Management Areas | south of I-12 located in coastal zone; Consistency Authorization Permit | south of I-12 located in coastal zone; Consistency Authorization Permit | N/A | south of I-12 located in coastal zone; Consistency Authorization Permit |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Coral Reefs | | | | |
| O. fr. of Processing | None observed on-site State Level Review | None observed on-site State Level Review | None observed on-site | None observed on-site State Level Review |
| Cultural Resources | | | | |
| Endangered and Threatened Species | None observed on-site | none on-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on site (field investigation); no effect | none on-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on site (field investigation); no effect | none on-site (FOTG) no suitable habitat on site (field investigation); no effect |
| Environmental Justice | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Essential Fish Habitat | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Fish and Wildlife Coordination | State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal | Consultation not required for debris removal | N/A | Consultation not required for debris removal |
| Floodplain Management | 100-year floodplain function is impaired | improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity | 100-year floodplain function is impaired | improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity |
| | None Identified | None Identified | None Identified | None Identified |
| Invasive Species | | | | |
| Migratory Birds | food and habitat reduced due to debris cover | food and cover quality improved | food and cover quality reduced due to debris cover | food and cover quality improved |
| Natural Areas | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified |
| Prime and Unique Farmlands | (FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present |
| Riparian Areas | riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology | nparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access | riparian area impacted by increased debris and altered hydrology | riparian area improved by debris removal; increased temporary impacts resulting from access |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Scenic Beauty | - Holinge | | | |
| Watlands | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Wetlands Wild and Scenic | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Rivers | | | | |

| Completed By: | Larry Casseday | Date: | 02/23/2006 |
|---------------|----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | |

| DSR NO: | 103-05-142K |
|---------|-------------|
| DOK NO. | |

Section 2F Economic

| | Future Damages (\$) | Damage Factor (%) | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------|
| Properties Protected (Private) | <u> </u> | | |
| 27 Houses @ \$100,000.00 | 2,700,000.00 | 25 | 675,000.00 |
| | | as animal control | |
| Properties Protected (Public) | | | |
| Properties Protected (Fabric) | | | |
| | | | w. |
| | | | |
| Business Losses | *************************************** | | |
| | | | |
| | | - Carlotte | |
| Other | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | MACON MICE. | | |
| | Total Near Term Da | | 675,000.00 |
| Net Benefit (Total Near Te | rm Damage Reduction minus Co | st from Section 3) | 519,880.00 |

| Completed By: | David Sweeney | _ Date: | 06 |
|---------------|---------------|---------|----|
| | | | |

103-05-142K

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

| | YES | NO | Remarks |
|---|--|--|--|
| Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment? | tun yun antarul | V | |
| Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment? | | To supplied the supplied to th | |
| Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment? | - Constitution of the Cons | | |
| Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity) | ✓ | | |
| Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment? | / | | Reduction in stream flow has the potential of causing damage to some homes thereby displacing families for an extended period of time. |
| Completed By: David Sweeney | | Date: | 02/23/2006 |

| DSR NO: | |
|---------|-------------|
| | 103-05-142K |

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

| Group Representation | Number |
|--|--------|
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic | |
| Asian Female Hispanic | |
| Asian Female Non-Hispanic | |
| Asian Male Hispanic | |
| Asian Male Non-Hispanic | |
| Black or African American Female Hispanic | |
| Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic | 14 |
| Black or African American Male Hispanic | |
| Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic | 14 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic | |
| White Female Hispanic | |
| White Female Non-Hispanic | 34 |
| White Male Hispanic | |
| White Male Non-Hispanic | 33 |
| Total Group | 95 |

| Census tract(s) | T041202 | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-------|------------|
| Completed By: | Pavid Sweeney | Date: | 02/23/2006 |

| DSR NO: | 103-05-142K |
|---------|-------------|
|---------|-------------|

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

Obtain a CWA Permit prior to construction in channel.

Obtain a Water Quality Certification.

Consistency Authorization Permit

Mitigation Description:

Avoid impacts to the one side of each channel to prevent damage to riparian zone adjacent to channel.

Debris will be disposed of off-site at an approved site to minimize impacts to adjacent riparian areas.

Action will be completed without interruption to reduce potential impacts on nearby wildlife.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers DEQ St. Tammany Parrish Government LDNR-CMD

| DSR NO: | 103-05-142K |
|---------|-------------|
| | |

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

| Completed By: | Patti Mitchell | Date: | 02/23/2006 |
|---------------|---|-------|------------|
| | *************************************** | | |

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

| Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation) | Quantity | Units | Unit Cost (\$) | Amount (\$) |
|---|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Mobilization and Demobilization | 1 | LS | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Channel Obstruction Removal (Conventional Methods) | 6776 | FT | 20.00 | 135,520.00 |
| Seeding | 3 | AC | 200.00 | 600.00 |
| Blocked Site (includes mobilization, debris removal, seeding, etc.) | 1 | LS | 9,000.00 | 9,000.00 |
| | | - C 111 smith had | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| Engineer's Recommendation | | | | 0.00 |
| Remove debris from channel and both sides (using conventional methods) reaching 15 feet from top of bank on each side for the upper 6776 ft. The material blocking the bayou at | | | | 0.00 |
| Creole St. will be removed and disposed of off site. Work will be performed from one side only for both locations. | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | Total Ins | stallation Cost (En | ter in Section 1F)\$ | 155,120.00 |

Unit Abbreviations:

| | *************************************** | | |
|----|---|------|--------------|
| AC | Acre | LS | Lump Sum |
| CY | Cubic Yard | SF | Square Feet |
| EA | Each | SY | Square Yard |
| HR | Hour | TN | Ton |
| LF | Linear Feet | Othe | r (Specifiy) |

| DSR NO: | 103-05-142K |
|---------|-------------|
| | |

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

| Priority Ranking Criteria | Yes | No | | Ranking Number Plus Modifer |
|---|-----|----------|----------|---|
| 1. Is this an exigency situation? | V | | | 1E |
| 2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life? | | Z | | |
| 3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure | | | | |
| components are threatened? | | ₩ | | |
| 4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief? | | 1 | | |
| The following are modifiers for the above criteria | | | Modifier | , |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat? | | | | |
| b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places? | | | | |
| c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland? | | | | |
| d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands? | | | | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions? | | | е | |
| f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats? | | | | |

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: 103-05-142K

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove debris from channel and both sides (using conventional methods) reaching 15 feet from top of bank on each side for the upper 6776 ft. The material blocking the bayou at Creole St. will be removed and disposed of off site. Work will be performed from one side only for both locations.

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

| ✓ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the Chapter 5.2.2.1.2 Chapter 5.2.2.2.2 Chapter Chapter Chapter Chapter | EWP PEIS (reference all that apply) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| May require the preparation of an env The action will be referred to the NRCS Sta NRCS representative of the DSR team Title: 2:54:c + Lms. | |
| Section 5B Comments: | |
| Section 5C | Sponsor Concurrence: |
| Sponsor Representative | |
| Title: Env. S/c. | Date: 3 · 4 · 0 G |
| Section 6 Attachments: A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches | |

C. Other (explain)

TOPO MAP DSR 103-05-142K Melun Bayou St. Tammany Parish

Estimated Total Reach Length 6,776 LF

